# Entanglement Isn't Just Spin

Dan Schroeder, Weber State University, 19 July 2016



**Context:** First example of a QM problem in more than one dimension, e.g., 2-D square infinite square well...

# A separable wave function



 $\psi(x, y) = \sin(2\pi x)\sin(3\pi y)$ 

Misconception #1:

### All multidimensional wave functions are separable. (At least when the potential energy is symmetric.)

### Another solution to the TISE



 $\psi(x, y) = \\ \sin(2\pi x)\sin(3\pi y) \\ +\frac{1}{2}\sin(3\pi x)\sin(2\pi y)$ 

Measuring *x* tells you something new about *y* 

#### → Entanglement!

## Another entangled solution to the TISE



 $\psi(x, y) = \\ \sin(7\pi x)\sin(1\pi y) \\ +\sin(5\pi x)\sin(5\pi y)$ 

Misconception #2:

#### Every wave function is a solution of the timeindependent Schrödinger equation.

(see, e.g., work of C. Singh)

# Another perfectly valid wave function



$$\psi(x, y) = e^{-(x-a)^2} e^{-(y-b)^2} + e^{-(x-b)^2} e^{-(y-a)^2}$$

### Two particles in one dimension



$$\psi(x_1, x_2) = e^{-(x_1 - a)^2} e^{-(x_2 - b)^2} + e^{-(x_1 - b)^2} e^{-(x_2 - b)^2}$$

Measuring  $x_1$  tells you something new about  $x_2$ 

#### → Entanglement!

Misconception #3:

#### Every particle has its own wave function.

## Yet another perfectly valid wave function



$$\psi(x,y) = ???$$

Feel free to replace:

$$(x,y) \longrightarrow (x_1,x_2)$$

*y* ↑

## Yet another perfectly valid wave function



$$\psi(x, y) = e^{-(x-a)^2} e^{-(y-b)^2} e^{-iky} + e^{-(x-b)^2} e^{-(y-a)^2} e^{+iky}$$

Feel free to replace:

$$(x,y) \longrightarrow (x_1,x_2)$$

# Why teach entanglement?

#### Google Books Ngram Viewer



# Why teach entanglement?

- Explosive growth of quantum information science.
- Today's students have already heard the term and are naturally curious.
- Probably essential for understanding the meaning of "measurement".

My suggestions:

- Put some entangled wave functions into your quantum course!
- Use the word "entanglement" early and often!